

UK Government: 51st State

Typical! Isn't that what so many say about the predictable and unfair behaviour of others? Politicians are a common example. They expect the rest of us to adhere to their laws, yet they take good care of their own interests when it contradicts entirely what they expect of us. Yes, typical!

Then there are the broken promises made at election time. Allegedly sincere pledges are prostituted for the benefit of getting elected. Once elected, politicians can often then suffer from short-term memory problems.

Then there are the scandals that are exposed every so often. It's no surprise that the media reports more and more young people becoming disenfranchised with Government and refusing to come out and vote. It's even breathtaking when politicians say they cannot understand why young people will not vote.

It's not just young people who are speaking out by their absence at the ballot box on polling day. Many others are exasperated too. It's even incredibly pathetic when we have to learn of the sordid dealings of Parliament through programmes like those made by comedian and impressionist Rory Bremner for Channel 4 TV.

Like any other country in the world, it is a terrible thing when one falls foul of bad laws. But when one asks their Member of Parliament ("MP") to help, and said MP tries to turn a blind eye to the injustice, it's unforgivable. It's happened before, and it will continue to happen again, and again, etc.

Our MPs are the not the only culprits. The agencies which represent the British Government are also sometimes disinterested in other peoples problems - even when they are charged with handling such issues. Learning that such agencies have responsibilities, only to then see them renege upon their duty, is a complete disgrace. But it happens.

The entire British Institution makes a big issue of anything good it does, ensuring the best media coverage, etc. But when something is needed of them which puts them out of kilter, they skulk away and pretend the problem they should be dealing with does not exist.

This is the initial response I had to my complaint about the way I was discriminated against by US immigration. That's why I'm waiting to see what my *new MP and Foreign Office Minister manages to resolve for me. *See [my latest letter of appeal](#) to Betty Williams.

Links to previous letters: [Betty Williams](#) and [Betty Williams and Kim Howells](#).

But herein lies the problem ...

The UK has no influence over US policy, even when it's to the detriment of UK citizens. In fact, and to the shame of this nation, we are still beholding to the US. And why? Any obligation the UK has to the US has expired. We owe America nothing. In truth, they owe us a lot.

If it were not for American investment in the Nazi regime in the 1930s (for example, the IG Farben factories), then we may never have had to go to war on the scale that we did. Many British people may have lived instead of being killed by Nazi bombs. Even the fuel the Nazi's synthesized was with the help of American technology. These tanks overran Europe and accounted for a massive loss of life to both the UK and our allies in Europe - and beyond.

When we had to depend upon the US for supplies during the Second World War, it was nothing less than we were entitled to. The UK should not have any debt, oral or otherwise, to the US.

The same can be said by America's entry into the war. It was only by 'allowing' intelligence on Pearl Harbour to find it's way to Japan that forced the reluctant Americans to come into the war on our side. No wonder the Nazi Generals at the Nuremberg Trials were still confident their American friends would bale them out.

It's an ongoing thing for America. Where there is war, there is profit. Where there is profit, there is wide-spread corruption. Power corrupts, and absolute power corrupts absolutely - so it is said. And which is the supposedly the most powerful nation on the face of the planet? You don't need three guesses.

We owe them zilch. They owe the rest of the world a moral obligation for their support of War criminals who brought so much devastation to the planet. Life doesn't change though. The Americans are still pimping our support to fight illegal wars.



~~Legal - Legal - Legal~~

A POORLY GUARDED SECRET.

THE US NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY BASE AT MENWITH HILL IN ENGLAND. WHY ARE THE AMERICANS SPYING UPON US AND WHY HAS BRITISH TELECOM ROUTED SO MANY TELEPHONE LINES THROUGH THIS BASE?

~~Legal - Legal - Legal~~

You have to understand more about the now commonly quoted 'New World Order' ("NWO") to understand why our own Prime Minister is a 2nd-stringer to the ideology of this plan. Read more at: [New World Order](#).

There is plenty more on the internet about the NWO. The information is so in-depth that one could earn a doctorate from studying the issue. Just a shame that most of the negative information is considered by the establishment to be no more than speculation and worthless anti-establishment propaganda. But they would say that, wouldn't they?

Reality

Does anyone who has an issue with the US have any hope of justice? Does anyone have any hope of getting the UK Government to fight their corner when an injustice has taken place. Not likely, unless the complainant can make enough of a protest to cause ructions within the ranks of power in either country.

Russian Satellite?

With the UK being so compliant to US foreign policy and doing the bidding of Bush and his war-like buddies, makes you think we are no more than the 51st State of America.

But what of the communist background of a number of our prominent MPs - and the communist-like principles of others?

While the US has been scribed as a melting-pot of many different nationalities and cultures, people go to live in the Us to adopt the 'American' way of life. In the UK, we also have many different cultures. But the difference here is that there is more freedom to practice different politics and ideologies that would not be tolerated in the US.

Perversely then, the US is intolerant of many cultures it feels threatens the American way of life. For example, being a Muslim in the US is not entirely welcome. Muslims are far more likely to be attacked, intimidated or shunned in the US. Yet this is supposed to be a free country.

In the communist-led UK, where there should be fewer freedoms to express political views, the opposite is true.

Why also is the UK supporting illegal wars in the Middle East? We have two diametrically opposed nations fighting side-by-side in countries which neither support Capitalism or Communism. The only answer is common interest. As the Western allied forces fought the Second World War in co-operation with Russia to defeat Nazi Germany, the 'allies' again is united in fighting an illusionary enemy alongside the US.

While Nazi Germany was an extreme danger to the rest of the world, countries like Afghanistan and Iraq were not. Afghanistan has spent centuries fighting its own feudal wars without being a threat to anyone else. Iraq knew its place and had no proven connection to Al Qaeda.

The world is changing. New Super-states are being built, territory is in demand and small countries are being forced to choose sides or try to develop themselves as superpowers.

In this respect, Iran stands as the only serious threat to the desire to acquire political allies in the Middle East. The West wants to acquire countries for their own purposes - to expand the empires of outside interests.

The unity of Left and Right is not an easy one. It was seen after World War II that Europe was divided into those states who remained under the influence of the West, and those who were subsumed into the Soviet empire. One wonders if the same thing is now happening in the Middle East. One-by-one, sovereign states in the Middle East will have to choose between the interests of Capitalism or Communism. Either that or develop, as previously stated, their own power-base.

Perhaps this is why the US does not like the idea of Iran developing nuclear capabilities. Not so much because they are a threat to neighbouring countries, but that they remain as a stumbling-block to the grandiose plans of other already developed superpowers.

The establishment of the New World Order will redefine the map of the world. But whichever super-state individual countries become members of, one thing is certain. Extreme political differences will just serve to build even stronger police-states. Each new superpower will be so afraid of the other, there will be a further disintegration of civil liberties.

The world will divide. Russia (to become 'Eurasia'?) and the US will represent both extremes in this new order. Europe will be somewhere between the two - but leaning to the left. It remains to be seen where the UK will dedicate its loyalties, but Europe beckons.

Will the UK fully embrace Europe and slowly remove the cancerous roots of US influence from this land? It remains to be seen. But one thing seems sure, despite our current crop of pinkish politicians in Government, being under the full influence of Russia will not happen. We shall not become a Russian satellite state. To what extent we shall be, if any, and how much we still try to appease the US, also remains to be seen.